

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/844,481	04/27/2001	Yasuhiko Onishi	FUJI:185	9134
. 7	590 12/16/2002			
ROSSI & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 826 Ashburn, VA	20146-0826		LANDAU, MATTHEW C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2014	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/844,481	ONISHI ET AL.					
, , a , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
	Matthew Landau	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w		•	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: 2.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,4,6,7,14 and 31.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5,8-13,15-	17,and 33.						
] The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. ☐ Other:		100					
	en.	FOR LE	MANNER Caro				
		- week to be a control of the second	* 41 11				

Application No.

Continuation Sh t (PTO-303) 09/844,481



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment including the limitation "formed by the first and second semiconductor regions alternately arranged along the direction of the closed loop" raises new issues that would required further consideration and/or search..